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The emerging technology is known by various names such as in-line flocculation, polymer modified tailings deposition or more commercially as Enhanced Tailings Disposal (ETD), is the subject of growing interest from mining operations. Business drivers for the mine tailings facility owners can include a variety of site-specific, material-dependant operational challenges, as well as more general desires to improve efficiency at tailings storage facilities (TSFs).

Polymers can modify the behaviour of tailings in a variety of ways, one of which is through rheological adjustment. This can often result in a steepened beach, which with careful design and use of natural or man-made landforms can result in the potential for outlay significant reduction in the volume of initial embankment construction material. These opportunities are the subject of a number of ongoing large scale operational trials, likely to become the subject of future papers.

Other material behavioural changes are, however, inextricably linked with the technology: Reduced segregation, improved water release, less long term settlement and improving permeability and subsequent consolidation behaviour. Thorough understanding of these improvements can allow for appropriate design and operational management, which can then allow the operator leverage to improve long term operational performance.

This paper describes some scoping-level testing that focused on potential time-related opportunities that may lead to more efficient tailings management. Subject to site-specific constraints, there may be a cost-effective opportunity to defer future capital expenditure required for construction of a subsequent facility, or construction of the next raise of the embankments. Increased consolidation rates may also lead to improved trafficability and thus more efficient rehabilitation. Whilst not going into specific detail concerning operational or construction costs, the paper presents high-level results of testing and consolidation modelling demonstrating a combination of opportunities for improved tailings performance, through:

- Accelerating and increasing overall water release
- Improving the rate of consolidation
- Reducing the rate of rise
- Reducing the amount of post depositional settlement

The implications of the data and modelling are discussed in the context of potential business opportunities, which can be broadly described as the “time value of volume”.
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1. Introduction

For the purposes of simplicity, the process known variously as in-line flocculation, polymer modified tailings deposition or more commercially as Enhanced Tailings Disposal (ETD), will be termed polymer treatment or simply treatment in this paper.

Whilst some projects may benefit from a steepened beach concept to reduce the early capital cost of embankment construction through the use of polymer treatment, other projects may benefit from the process in other ways (Riley & Utting 2014).

Polymer treatment modifies tailings deposition in a number of interrelated ways. High molecular weight polymers with specific chemistry, selected to match tailings mineralogy and process water, may significantly modify settlement and consolidation rates. This is achieved in part by processes not dissimilar to flocculation, but progresses into the formation of a fabric (Wells 2011) that facilitates water release and consolidation within the deposited tailings.

In combination with reduced particle segregation, it is becoming apparent that higher densities and consolidation rates, when realised, may make more efficient use of available storage volume in a given timeframe. Alternatively, when there is an imposed time dependency to achieve other objectives such as rehabilitation or removal of material to a more permanent TSF, a significant business improvement opportunity may exist. The existence of a demonstrable opportunity is considered a prerequisite for testing.

This paper outlines the laboratory testing and consolidation modelling of samples of copper, mineral sands and copper/gold tailings from three different active mining projects. At each site, polymer treatment was either under consideration, or already underway, but requiring an increased level of technical rigour. The results of this work were used to provide input to the potential use of the process or the implications of different polymer dosages on site outcomes.
2. Experimental and Modelling Techniques

2.1 Laboratory Testing

Laboratory testing outlined herein typically comprises settling and slurry consolidometer (Sheeran & Krizek 1971) tests. Settling tests were typically undertaken with undrained, top drained, bottom drained, and double (top and bottom) drained boundary conditions, to provide an assessment of solids/liquid separation behaviour under different conditions. It is acknowledged that the relatively small diameter of the settling tubes may influence settling behaviour of the material and that such settling tests can only be considered as an indicator test to assess some of the processes occurring within a tailings deposit.

Slurry consolidometer testing was undertaken in 71 mm diameter columns, with top drainage of the sample. Constant head permeability tests were undertaken at the end of most loading stages.

Of the material tested in this study, the copper and copper/gold tailings were tested from a single client-supplied sample. Material was sub-sampled when needed to undertake laboratory scale treatment testing. This technique enables a direct comparison to be made of the effects of polymer treatment, without material variability influencing results. For the mineral sands tailings, this was not possible. The reasons for this, and potential implications as they related to the results for that material, are discussed below.

2.2 Consolidation Modelling

Following laboratory characterisation, numerical consolidation models were developed to assess the implications of polymer treatment, or of different polymer dosages, based on specific site conditions. The models were developed using the finite strain code CONDES0 (Yao & Znidarcic 1997). Finite strain models enable many of the salient features of tailings consolidation to be simulated, including incremental deposition, significant variation in material properties with stress, and the relatively large displacements typical of self-weight consolidation. They provide a more robust analysis of self-weight consolidation problems when compared with small-strain analysis techniques (for example, Schiffman et al. 1984).

Consolidation models were developed for idealised one-dimensional columns of material, representing an average depositional rate of rise and depth of deposited tailings for the site under consideration. Material was typically deposited in the models at a rate consistent with site production rates and deposition plan area, although it is noted that such idealisations cannot capture the variety of behaviour across a typical tailings cell. Rather, they enable comparison of the implications of different sets of material parameters to be investigated in a consistent manner.
3 Polymer-treatment Effects on Consolidation Behaviour

The primary effects of treatment to the current study are a potential to result in an increased rate of initial dewatering, and increased rate of self-weight consolidation. Where this effect is observed, it offers the potential for a higher average in situ dry density to be realised, the potential for faster remediation of a tailings surface, and increased water recovery.

It is noted that while in some instances polymer treatment of tailings appears to result in a lower final density during consolidation (Jeeravipoolvarn et al. 2009, 2010, Reid & Fourie 2012, Yao 2012, Reid & Fourie 2014), even where this is the case, a faster rate of consolidation can in some situations result in a higher average in situ dry density during deposition. Alternatively, there are instances where polymer treatment resulted in both significantly higher final densities during consolidation (Azam 2012), and permeability at a given density.

The laboratory testing outlined in this study suggests that polymer treatment can induce significant beneficial changes in some materials with respect to rate of consolidation. To provide context on these results, a series of examples from the literature are presented in Table 1, where polymer addition or other changes in soil fabric resulted in significantly different material behaviour with respect to density or rate of consolidation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Trigger for changes</th>
<th>Variation in density*</th>
<th>Variation in rate of consolidation or permeability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mathew &amp; Narashimha Rao 1997</td>
<td>Pore fluid chemistry</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang &amp; Siu 2006</td>
<td>Pore fluid chemistry</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sachan &amp; Penumadu 2007</td>
<td>Pore fluid chemistry</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Three times lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inparajah &amp; Wong 2008</td>
<td>Pore fluid chemistry</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeeravipoolvarn et al. 2009</td>
<td>Polymer treatment</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Permeability three orders of magnitude larger at low stresses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang et al. 2011</td>
<td>Sample preparation</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Permeability three times greater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillai et al. 2011</td>
<td>Pore fluid chemistry</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Permeability doubled at the same void ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amarasinghe et al. 2012</td>
<td>Pore fluid chemistry</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>One order of magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azam 2012</td>
<td>Polymer treatment</td>
<td>100% at 10 kPa vertical stress</td>
<td>Permeability one order of magnitude larger or smaller, dependent on polymer used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yao 2012</td>
<td>Polymer treatment</td>
<td>–5% lower</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Variation at 100 kPa vertical effective stress, unless otherwise noted

It can be seen from the previous studies presented that significant changes to the consolidation properties of a soil can be expected following polymer treatment, or other changes to soil fabric induced through pore fluid chemistry. It is noted that the changes induced by pore fluid chemistry often larger than most of those resulting from polymer treatment. It is the author’s opinion that further comparisons could be conducted in this area looking at direct comparisons between polymer soil treatment and pore water chemistry changes, using the same substrate.
4 Business context and scoping level testing with interpretation

4.1 A copper mining operation

4.1.1 Site description
The site utilises conventional cellular TSF design. As a result of climate and an inability to discharge excess water, rate of rise of tailings is a significant business issue, compounded by other factors such as adverse terrain. Increasing the settling and subsequent consolidation rate are considered beneficial as is more rapid clarification of supernatant water. The challenge at this site is to defer/avoid the construction of an embankment raise.

4.1.2 Copper tailings testing, results and interpretation
The work comprised settling and slurry consolidometer testing on a client-supplied sample of slurry at a density of 24% solids by mass, consistent with typical material deposited on site. The material was predominately silt-sized, with 86% passing 75 µm, and 11% clay-sized.

The following observations were apparent based on the test results:

- While settled densities for untreated material were typically higher than treated samples, upon application of vertical loads, the treated samples appear to achieve higher densities. This may be a result of a more compressible fabric induced by treatment, which at low stresses, results in a greater increase in density over a given increment of stress, compared to untreated samples.
- Treated material generally is of higher permeability than untreated material across a wide range of vertical effective stresses.

The interactions of settling and consolidation results on material behaviour in situ were assessed using an incremental consolidation model using an average depositional rate of rise observed on site.

Models were developed for untreated slurry and at dosages of 75 g/t and 392 g/t respectively to represent either end of the potential polymer dosing spectrum for this material. The model simulated tailings deposition over a period of 10 years, followed by a fallow period until post-deposition settlement ceased. Models were prepared based on idealised columns of tailings. The results of the consolidation modelling are summarised in Table 2.

It is noted that the recovered percentages of water appear high for all scenarios presented. This is a result of the relatively low depositional solids content, which results in significant quantities of liberated water after initial settling.
In terms of dose rate, a ‘sweet spot’ is commonly apparent. In this case, there would appear to be little, if any, likely gain from the higher dose rate. Table 2 suggests that across a range of suitable metrics and timeframes, treatment at the lower dose rate could improve depositional performance by:

- Increasing self-weight consolidation rate
- Reducing the actual rate of rise
- Releasing more water
- Reducing the time to complete post-depositional settlement

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model output</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Untreated</th>
<th>75 g/t</th>
<th>392 g/t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Year Average Dry Density</td>
<td>t/m³</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Year Average Dry Density</td>
<td>t/m³</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Year Average Dry Density</td>
<td>t/m³</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Year Depth of tailings by time</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Year Depth of tailings by time</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Year Depth of tailings by time</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Year Percentage of water recovered*</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Year Percentage of water recovered*</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Year Percentage of water recovered*</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Year Average in situ rate of rise over 10 years</td>
<td>m/year</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Year Post-deposition settlement</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Year Time for completion of post-deposition settlement</td>
<td>Years</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Variation at 100 kPa vertical effective stress, unless otherwise noted

### 4.1.3 Summary for copper tailings project

The results suggest that polymer treatment may reduce the in situ rate of rise from 3.0 to 2.2 m/year over a ten year period, potentially realising a 26% saving in the effective utilisation of constructed storage volume.
4.2 A mineral sands operation

4.2.1 Site description

The operation produces a heavy metals concentrate comprising zircon, ilmenite and rutile from shallow, linear orebodies. The deposit is extracted by a method known as shallow strip mining, a continuous mining process in a given direction of advance using a combination of scrapers, bulldozers and front-end loaders. As opposed to open-pit mining, which typically gets deeper and expands in area over time, strip mining removes soil and overburden at the leading edge, followed by mineral extraction not far behind. Tailings are then placed into the old workings behind the active mining area, followed by replacement of overburden and soils at the trailing end of the ongoing sequence as illustrated in Figure 1.

This form of strip mining outlined is typically utilised where the deposit is flat-lying, shallow and above the water table. Note that mining advances in a given direction with rehabilitation following progressively behind. Pre-strip material can be taken back to either stockpiles on previously restored land, or directly into the rehabilitation phase depending on operational timing and readiness of consolidated tailings for safe rehabilitation operations.

The challenge at this site is associated with a ‘social license to operate’ requiring full rehabilitation within a relatively short time-frame in order to return the land to original agricultural use. From the regulatory perspective such a requirement avoids the risk of an accumulating rehabilitation liability. Being able to improve the relative rehabilitation performance of the old workings can provide the operator with significant benefits.

In this case, the client also required the results of the consolidation modelling to evaluate the implications of the testing upon alternate mining configurations. Three alternate length options for the tailings deposition cell (within the advancing operation) were specified by the Client as follows:

- Scenario A – 400 m long cells (current practice)
- Scenario B – 600 m long cells
- Scenario C – 200 m long cells

Clearly for a given mining width, the length of the internal tailings cell affects deposition volume, rate of rise and most importantly, time, before rehabilitation commences over a typical 18 metre depth of tailings.
4.2.2 Mineral sands tailings testing

The tailings comprised a gap graded sample, consisting of approximately 86% sand and 14% fine silt and clay, with negligible coarse silt fraction. Slurry is deposited at approximately 50% solids by mass. Work comprised slurry consolidometer testing on a client supplied slurry sample at a solids density typical of general site operating conditions. Testing was undertaken at four treatment dosages specified by the client and known respectively as untreated, low, medium and high polymer doses.

The concept of ‘ageing’ whereby the surface chemistry of mineral grains changes through oxidation and other means over relatively short timeframes may be familiar to those involved with thickener operations. For this reason, flocculent and polymer screening is often conducted on site using fresh tailings. In this case, when samples arrived at the Golder laboratory, it was noted that treatment did not replicate the results from screening conducted on site. It was therefore decided to conduct the treatment on site, prior to transporting the samples for laboratory testing.

On the basis of the significant permeability differences observed in testing between untreated and treated materials (outlined below), a particle size assessment of each sample was conducted using wet sieving. This indicated that the samples provided were not identical in gradation as illustrated in Table 3.

Table 3: Wet sieving results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>% Passing 75 µm</th>
<th>% Passing 38 µm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Untreated</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Dose</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Dose</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Dose</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data suggests that site based sample preparation may have led to loss of fines in treated samples compared to untreated. This is counter-intuitive as treatment generally improves fines capture, reducing segregation. In this case, it was realised that only the treated samples were decanted on site and that it was likely that a loss of fines had only occurred in treated samples, the extent of which was related to dosage. Therefore, some of the differences in behaviour may be attributed to sample difference. Further analysis of the fines fraction of each sample is to be undertaken to assess if this may be playing a role in the consolidation differences observed.

The results of laboratory testing indicated the following:

- Polymer treatment was seen to result in nearly immediate increases of density from 50% to between 55% and 58% solids. The increase in density for a sample without polymer was much slower, requiring approximately one month to achieve 55% solids.
- Polymer-treated materials exhibited significantly higher permeability, and therefore rate of consolidation, when compared to untreated materials. This is illustrated in Figure 2.
- The density achieved at the end of each consolidation stage for polymer treated samples, was relatively similar. Ultimately, untreated materials achieved slightly higher densities. However the ultimate density advantage is minor relative to the time related performance. The low-dose material achieved the lowest density, but the authors consider this is most likely related the lower fines content, itself most likely related to fines loss during decantation.
The results presented above suggest that polymer treatment results in significant changes to permeability, and hence to rate of consolidation. The differences observed for this sample are larger than any that have been observed following polymer treatment, to the authors’ knowledge. Owing to the significant differences observed, further checks were undertaken as follows:

- A duplicate test was undertaken on the High-Dose sample with very similar results as indicated by data-points labelled High-Dose Tests 1 and 2 in Figure 2.

- A flexible wall permeameter test was undertaken. The flexible wall form of test was selected to assess if the macro-scale fabric induced by treatment resulted in preferential pathways for flow between the tailings and the rigid sidewalls of the slurry consolidometer. The results obtained were slightly lower than the slurry consolidometer test, but probably within the range of accuracy typically expected for permeability testing.

- The fines content of the top and bottom of the untreated sample were measured, to assess if the material had segregated during pouring into the cell. Such segregation could result in a lower permeability fine-grained layer at the top of the cell, which would inhibit flow. No difference in gradation was observed. This was consistent with visual observation of a settling test on untreated material, where segregation was not apparent.

4.2.3 Mineral sands tailings modelling

To assess the implications of polymer treatment on the deposition time into a tailings cell, and the potential time required for rehabilitation, consolidation modelling was undertaken on two scenarios as outlined in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Polymer-treated</th>
<th>Untreated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filling time</td>
<td>days</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slurry volume deposited</td>
<td>m³</td>
<td>44,400</td>
<td>23,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time for evaporative consolidation to commence</td>
<td>days</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-depositional settlement</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Incremental filling of the tailings in the consolidation model enabled the time required to fill a cell with polymer treated and untreated material to be assessed. Owing to the rapid initial dewatering resulting from polymer treatment, and increased rates of consolidation, a significantly greater quantity of material can be deposited into an idealised cell.

To assess the time required following deposition for rehabilitation to commence, settlement in the consolidation model was monitored following the end of the completion of deposition, until the settlement rate fell below the average rate of evaporation for the site. It has been proposed (for example, Seneviratne et al. 1996) that as the rate of water reporting to the surface becomes less than the evaporation rate, suctions will begin to develop in the surface of the tailings. The time for this to occur provides a criterion to enable comparison of different scenarios, in the context of likely rehabilitation times.
The lower rates of initial dewatering and consolidation observed for untreated material results in an idealised cell reaching capacity significantly more rapidly than for polymer-treated material hence. Further, the minimal advancement of consolidation during deposition of untreated material results in a significantly longer time following deposition before evaporative consolidation commences. This is illustrated in Figure 4. In addition, post-deposition settlement is considerably greater. The magnitude of the differences is such that it is difficult to plot the post-deposition results for both models together.

### Table 5 Settling tests – final dry density achieved (t/m³)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Un-drained</th>
<th>Top Drained</th>
<th>Bottom Drained</th>
<th>Top and Bottom Drained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Untreated</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 3: Rehabilitation Advance Rate – Treated vs Untreated

**4.3 A copper/gold mining operation**

#### 4.3.1 Site description

The site utilises conventional cellular TSF design in an arid climate, and is constrained to some extent by water supply. The driver for improvement at this operation was considered likely to be a combination of improved water return and deferral of ongoing TSF embankment construction costs.

#### 4.3.2 Copper/gold mine tailings testing

The tailings comprised fine material with 77% passing 75 µm and 11% passing 2 µm. Work comprised settling and slurry consolidometer testing on a client supplied slurry sample at a 60% solids density typical of general site operating conditions. Testing was undertaken on untreated slurry and at polymer doses of 15, 60 and 105 g/t respectively.

Slurry settling tests indicated that untreated tailings achieved slightly higher final dry density, typically by around 1–2%, as indicated in Table 5. However, given that the time taken to achieve the final 5% of density increase was significant, it was decided that a more useful measure of settling rate could be made on the basis of time to achieve 95% of final settled density. The results of the comparison using this metric are presented in Table 6.

### 4.2.4 Summary for mineral sands tailings project

The results suggest that polymer treatment has the potential to significantly improve the overall performance of this type of mining operation, with particular benefit in the timely rehabilitation of mining land to agriculture. Furthermore, modelling suggests that the mining process could be optimised to the treatment by use of longer tailings cell sizes within the strip mining process, however this aspect of the project is the subject of a parallel paper, Beveridge and Mutz (2015) publication pending.
Chapter 4

The settling test data clearly indicated a significant increase in the settling rate, typically three times faster when treated at a dose rate of 60 g/t. Example results for the undrained settling tests are presented graphically in Figure 4.

Whilst the settling tests illustrate a marked change in performance, the untreated material was achieving parity in the 2 to 3 day timeframe. In terms of any early signs of a potential business improvement, the results were perhaps best described as underwhelming.

The settling tests were followed by slurry consolidometer tests, the results of which are presented in Figure 5 showing the variation in final dry density across a plot for comparison to the densities achieved through consolidation. Final dry density tends to decrease slightly with increasing polymer dosage, being approximately 3% lower at 100 kPa vertical effective stress for 60 g/t material. However, permeability and rate of consolidation are approximately double. On the basis of these effects, it was not immediately clear to what extent a faster rate of consolidation for polymer treated samples might outweigh the higher final dry density of untreated material. This was assessed through consolidation modelling as outlined in Figure 5.

Table 6: Settling tests – time to 95% of final density (days)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Un-drained</th>
<th>Top Drained</th>
<th>Bottom Drained</th>
<th>Top and Bottom Drained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Un-treated</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>5.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Settling test comparison – undrained tests

Figure 5: Final consolidated density vs. vertical effective stress

The settling test data clearly indicated a significant increase in the settling rate, typically three times faster when treated at a dose rate of 60 g/t. Example results for the undrained settling tests are presented graphically in Figure 4.
4.3.3 Copper/gold tailings modelling

The Client indicated that in situ rates of rise ranging from approximately 6 to 35 m/year are potentially relevant to TSF operations. Cognisance was taken of this range when selecting depositional rates of rise for input to CONDES0 modelling. The results are presented in Table 7 for predicted rate of rise, average dry density and estimated potential water recovery for the untreated and 60 g/t treated datasets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depositional Rate of Rise (m/yr.)</th>
<th>Average in situ Rate of Rise Predicted (m/yr.)</th>
<th>Estimated Average Dry Density (t/m³)</th>
<th>Estimated Potential Water Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Untreated 60 g/t Untreated 60 g/t</td>
<td>Untreated 60 g/t Untreated 60 g/t</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.8 6.8</td>
<td>1.41 1.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>19.0 17.5</td>
<td>1.31 1.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>27.2 24.8</td>
<td>1.26 1.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Consolidation model results

The estimated rates of rise are presented in Figure 6.

The modelling suggests that performance improvement would only potentially occur at high depositional rates of rise. Even when a benefit is observed from treatment in this instance, the difference compared to untreated material is minimal. This result, coupled with likely operational costs of polymer treatment, was not particularly encouraging.

4.3.4 Summary for copper mine tailings project

The results suggest that polymer treatment is unlikely to be a strong contender for a business improvement project at this site. The results appear to offer modest potential benefits only at very high rates of rise. The authors are not, however, aware of the full details of site challenges, which were not part of this scoping level study.
5. Conclusions

Whilst all three scoping level studies demonstrated potential improvements for tailings deposition, only two were considered likely candidates for further study.

Results for the copper mining operation suggest that polymer treatment may reduce the in situ rate of rise from 3.0 to 2.2 m/year over a ten year period, a 26% saving in the effective utilisation of constructed storage volume.

Results for the mineral sands operation suggest that treatment has the potential to significantly improve the overall performance of this type of business, with particular benefit in the timely rehabilitation of mining land to agriculture. Furthermore, modelling suggests that the mining process could be optimised to tailings treatment by use of longer tailings cell sizes within the strip mining process.

Results for the copper/gold mining operation were unconvincing in terms of potential economics as a business improvement project.

Overall, the authors consider that this work reinforces the need for careful assessment of the site-specific operational constraints that may form part of a potential business case, and ensure that the testing and interpretation are tailored to address relevant opportunities.
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